UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

<u>Clerk's Minutes</u> Before the Honorable James O. Browning

CASE No. CIV 22-0222 JB/KK DATE: 6/15/2022

<u>TITLE:</u> Voter Reference Foundation, LLC, et al. v. Balderas, et al.

COURTROOM CLERK: L. Rotonda COURT REPORTER: R. Brazil

COURT IN SESSION: 9:59 AM TOTAL TIME: 5:42

TYPE OF PROCEEDING: MOTION HEARING

COURT RULING/DISPOSITION:

1. Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction [3] – taken under advisement; see below.

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFF(S): ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANT(S):

Eddie Greim (all appearing via Zoom)

Olga Serafimova (appearing via Zoom)

Matthew Mueller Carter Harrison Edward Greim

PROCEEDINGS:

COURT IN SESSION: 9:59 AM

COURT: CALLS CASE, COUNSEL ENTER APPEARANCES.

MR. GREIM: PLAINTIFF CALLS MANDY VIGIL (PREVIOUSLY SWORN); QUESTIONS ON DIRECT

EXAMINATION.

10:45 AM Ms. Serafimova: Objects as to proffered Exhibit.

COURT: INDICATES WILL HEAR TESTIMONY AND THEN TAKE OBJECTION.

MR. GREIM: RESUMES QUESTIONING MS. VIGIL ON DIRECT EXAMINATION, MOVES FOR

ADMISSION OF PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT NO. 10.

Ms. Serafimova: No objection.

COURT: WILL ADMIT PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT NO. 10.

11:10 AM Mr. Greim: Resumes Questioning Ms. Vigil on Direct examination.

11:14 AM Ms. Serafimova: Asks to address the Court regarding objection (Ms. Vigil moved to waiting room).

COURT: OVERRULED (Ms. VIGIL READMITTED TO ZOOM SESSION).

MR. GREIM: RESUMES QUESTIONING MS. VIGIL ON DIRECT EXAMINATION.

11:26 AM Ms. SERAFIMOVA: OBJECTS TO QUESTION.

COURT: OVERRULED.

MR. GREIM: RESUMES QUESTIONING MS. VIGIL ON DIRECT EXAMINATION.

Ms. Serafimova: Objects to Question.

COURT: OVERRULED.

COURT IN RECESS: 11:31 AM

COURT IN SESSION: 11:44 AM

MR. GREIM: MOVES FOR ADMISSION OF PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT NOS. 3, 4, 5.

Ms. Serafimova: Objects as to Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5.

COURT: WILL ADMIT PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT NOS. 3, 4, AND WILL ADMIT PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT NO.

5 SUBJECT TO OBJECTIONS.

Ms. Serafimova: Questions Ms. Vigil on cross examination.

12:19 PM MR. GREIM: OBJECTS TO QUESTION.

COURT: SUSTAINS OBJECTION, INSTRUCTS MS. SERAFIMOVA ACCORDINGLY.

Ms. Serafimova: Resumes questioning Ms. Vigil on cross examination.

MR. GREIM: OBJECTS TO QUESTION.

COURT: OVERRULED.

Ms. Serafimova: Resumes questioning Ms. Vigil on cross examination.

12:45 PM Mr. Greim: Objects to Question.

COURT: SUSTAINED.

Ms. Serafimova: Resumes questioning Ms. Vigil on cross examination.

12:49 PM COURT: EXCUSES MS. VIGIL.

12:50 PM Mr. Greim: Plaintiffs Call Sharon Pino (sworn); Questions Ms. Pino on

DIRECT EXAMINATION.

COURT IN RECESS: 1:16 PM

COURT IN SESSION: 1:30 PM

MR. GREIM: RESUMES QUESTIONING MS. PINO ON DIRECT EXAMINATION.

1:57 PM Ms. SERAFIMOVA: OBJECTS TO QUESTION.

COURT: OVERRULED.

MR. GREIM: RESUMES QUESTIONING MS. PINO ON DIRECT EXAMINATION, MOVES FOR ADMISSION OF PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT NOS. 6-9, 10-14.

Ms. Serafimova: Responds.

COURT: ADMITS PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT NOS. 6-9, 10-14.

Ms. Serafimova: Questions Ms. Pino on cross examination.

2:26 PM Mr. Greim: Objects to Question.

COURT: OVERRULED.

Ms. Serafimova: Resumes questioning Ms. Pino on cross examination.

2:34 PM Mr. Greim: Objects to Question.

COURT: OVERRULED.

Ms. Serafimova: Resumes questioning Ms. Pino on cross examination.

2:38 PM Mr. Greim: Questions Ms. Pino on redirect examination.

2:43 PM COURT: EXCUSES Ms. PINO.

MR. GREIM: RESTS.

Ms. Serafimova: Indicates no witnesses or other evidence to present.

MR. GREIM: ARGUES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION (Doc. 3).

3:02 PM COURT: QUERIES MR. GREIM.

MR. GREIM: RESPONDS TO COURT'S QUERY, RESUMES ARGUING IN SUPPORT OF MOTION.

COURT IN RECESS: 3:06 PM

COURT IN SESSION: 3:21 PM

MR. GREIM: RESUMES ARGUING IN SUPPORT OF MOTION.

COURT: DIRECTS MR. GREIM TO FILE POWERPOINT SLIDES ON CM/ECF.

3:39 PM Ms. Serafimova: Argues in opposition to Motion.

3:45 PM COURT: QUERIES Ms. SERAFIMOVA.

Ms. Serafimova: Responds to Court's queries, resumes arguing in opposition to Motion.

COURT: QUERIES MR. GREIM REGARDING SCENARIO POSED TO MS. SERAFIMOVA.

4:08 PM Mr. Greim: Responds to Court's query, argues in rebuttal as to Motion.

4:18 PM COURT: QUERIES PARTIES REGARDING PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, DEADLINES AS TO SAME.

MR. GREIM: RESPONDS TO COURT'S QUERIES, PROPOSES DEADLINE OF 14 DAYS.

Ms. Serafimova: Agrees as to same.

COURT: WILL ESTABLISH DEADLINE OF CLOSE OF BUSINESS ON JUNE 29, 2022 FOR PARTIES TO FILE. QUERIES PLAINTIFF AS TO TIMEFRAME FOR ISSUANCE OF OPINION.

MR. GREIM: REQUESTS OPINION BY JULY 22, 2022.

COURT: WILL AIM TO ISSUE OPINION BY CLOSE OF BUSINESS THAT DAY.

COURT IN RECESS: 4:23 PM